CONTRACTION OPERATORS QUASISIMILAR TO A UNILATERAL SHIFT¹

BY

V. T. ALEXANDER

ABSTRACT. Let U_n denote the unilaterial shift of finite multiplicity n. It is shown that a contraction operator T is quasisimilar to U_n if and only if T is of Class C_1 , the canonical isometry V associated with T is pure and T is n-cyclic with analytically independent vectors. For this, the notions of operators of analytic type and analytic independence of vectors are introduced. A characterization of the cyclic vectors of the Backward Shift is also presented.

- **0. Introduction.** In this paper, necessary and sufficient conditions are obtained for a contraction operator to be quasisimilar to a unilateral shift. Two Hilbert space operators T and S are quasisimilar if there exist operators X and Y which are one-to-one, have dense range and satisfy XT = SX and TY = YS. Quasisimilarity was introduced by Sz.-Nagy and Foiaş and they gave a simple characterization of operators quasisimilar to a unitary operator [7]. The topic was further studied by W. S. Clary who obtained a characterization of cyclic subnormal operators quasisimilar to the unilateral shift of multiplicity one [1]. W. W. Hastings generalized the result to the case of quasisimilarity between subnormal operators and isometries [5]. Both authors develop standard representations of the subnormal operators in terms of measures supported by $\overline{D} = \{z: |z| \le 1\}$. Thus these subnormal operators are contractions and so an appropriate general question would be what type of contractions are quasisimilar to U_n ?
- 1. Notation and definitions. A Hilbert space operator is a bounded linear transformation $T: H \to K$ from a Hilbert space H into a Hilbert space K. If K = H, we say that T is an operator on H. T is said to be a contraction if $||T|| \le 1$. The set of analytic polynomials in one complex variable z is denoted by P. Let T be an operator on H. A subspace M of H is said to be invariant for T if $TM \subseteq M$. For any given $f \in H$ let $P(f; T) = \{p(T)f: p \in P\}$ and M(f; T) = closure of P(f; T) in H. Then M(f; T) is invariant for T. If there is a vector f in H such that M(f; T) = H, we say that T is cyclic and f is a cyclic vector for T. For n vectors f_1, \ldots, f_n in H let $M(f_1, \ldots, f_n; T)$ be the closure of $P(f_1, \ldots, f_n; T) = \{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i(T)f_i: p_i \in P\}$ in H. Then $M(f_1, \ldots, f_n; T)$ is invariant for T. T is said to be n-cyclic if there are n vectors

Received by the editors September 24, 1982 and, in revised form, June 27, 1983.

¹⁹⁸⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47B99.

Key words and phrases. Contraction, unilateral shift, operator of analytic type, isometry, quasisimilarity.

This paper is adapted from the author's doctoral dissertation submitted at Fordham University. The author gratefully acknowledges his indebtedness to Professor William Hastings.

 f_1, \ldots, f_n in H with $M(f_1, \ldots, f_n; T) = H$ while no smaller set of vectors has this property.

Let T on H and S on K be operators. An operator $X: H \to K$ is called a quasiaffinity if X is one-to-one and has dense range. T is said to be a quasiaffine transform of S if there is a quasiaffinity $X: H \to K$ satisfying XT = SX. T and S are said to be quasisimilar if each of them is a quasiaffine transform of the other. That is, if there exists quasiaffinities $X: H \to K$ and $Y: K \to H$ satisfying XT = SX and YS = TY. It is known that the order of cyclicity is preserved under quasisimilarity. T is said to be of class C_1 , if $T^nh \nrightarrow 0$ for all h in H except h = 0; of class C_{10} if T is of class C_{11} , and $T^{*n}h \to 0$ for all h in H.

Let T be a contraction on H. If A is the algebra of functions analytic in $D = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ and continuous on \overline{D} and $f \in A$ with power series $\sum a_n z^n$, then the operator series $\sum a_n T^n$ is convergent in norm to a limit denoted by f(T). For $f \in H^{\infty}$ and 0 < r < 1, the function f_r defined by $f_r(z) = f(rz)$ belongs to A and so $f_r(T)$ is an operator on H. Let H_T^{∞} denote the set of all functions f in H^{∞} for which $f_r(T)$ has a strong limit as $r \to 1$ [7]. This limit is denoted by f(T).

DEFINITION 1.1. An operator T on a space H is said to be of *analytic type* if and only if T is a contraction and $H_T^{\infty} = H^{\infty}$.

A completely nonunitary (c.n.u.) contraction is of analytic type [7, p. 111]. If T is unitary and the spectral measure of T is absolutely continuous with respect to m, normalized Lebesgue measure on the unit circle C, then T is of analytic type [7, p. 116]. In particular, the bilateral shifts and the unilateral shifts are of analytic type. In general, a contraction T is of analytic type if and only if the spectral measure of its unitary part is absolutely continuous.

DEFINITION 1.2. Let T be an operator of analytic type on the space H. Then n vectors f_1, \ldots, f_n in H are said to be analytically independent under T if a relation $F_1(T)f_1 + \cdots + F_n(T)f_n = 0$ with F_1, \ldots, F_n in H^{∞} implies that $F_1 = \cdots = F_n = 0$.

An interesting result based on this notion of analytic independence is a simple characterization of the cyclic vectors of the backward shift U^* given below. Here U denotes the simple unilateral shift. The simple bilateral shift is denoted by W. The orthogonality of two vectors f and g is indicated by $f \perp g$. In the special case of U or W and for F in H^{∞} , the operator F(U) or F(W) is just multiplication by the function F and so we will write Ff instead of F(U)f or F(W)f. We will also use the fact that any function in the classical spaces H^p , p > 0, is a quotient of two functions in H^{∞} [4].

THEOREM 1.3. A nonzero function in H^2 is a cyclic vector of U^* if and only if 1 and \bar{h} are analytically independent under W (\bar{h} is the complex conjugate of h).

PROOF. Suppose h is not a cyclic vector. Then there is a nonzero function f in H^2 such that $f \perp U^{*n}h$ for all $n \ge 0$. That is, for $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, $(f, U^{*n}h) = \int z^n f \bar{h} dm$ = 0. Since $f \bar{h}$ is in $L^1(m)$ it follows that $f \bar{h}$ is in H^1 and so is a quotient of H^{∞} functions, say, $f \bar{h} = G_1/G_2$. Also, f being in H^2 is a quotient of H^{∞} functions: $f = F_1/F_2$. Thus $(F_1/F_2)\bar{h} = G_1/G_2$, or equivalently, $G_2F_1\bar{h} = F_2G_1$, showing that 1 and \bar{h} are analytically dependent.

Conversely, suppose $F\bar{h} = G$, where F, G are nonzero functions in H^{∞} . Since G is in H^{∞} we have for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$,

$$0 = \int z^n G \, dm = \int z^n F \overline{h} \, dm = (U^n F, h) = (zF, U^{*n-1}h).$$

This shows that zF is orthogonal to $U^{*k}h$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ Since zF is nonzero we conclude that h is not a cyclic vector.

REMARKS. Several characterizations of the cyclic vectors of U^* appear in [3]. Some of the other results in [3] can be obtained easily from the concept of analytic independence. The theorem also shows that polynomials, rational functions or inner functions cannot be cyclic vectors of U^* .

- **2. Quasisimilarity.** For a contraction T on H, we recall two constructions from [7]. First, T has a canonical decomposition $T = T_0 \oplus T_1$ on $H = H_0 \oplus H_1$ such that T_0 on H_0 is unitary (called the unitary part) and T_1 on H_1 is completely nonunitary (called the c.n.u. part). Second, T has a unitary dilation U_T on a space K having the following properties:
 - (1) H is a subspace of K
 - (2) $T^n h = \operatorname{Pr} U_T^n h, n \ge 1, h \in H$,

where Pr denotes the orthogonal projection of K onto H. U_T is called *minimal* if the smallest subspace of K which reduces U_T and contains H is all of K. If T is c.n.u. then both T and U_T are of analytic type.

LEMMA 2.1. Let $T: H \to H$ be a contraction of analytic type. For every outer function F in H^{∞} , F(T) is a quasiaffinity on H.

PROOF. By the canonical decomposition of T one reduces the lemma to Proposition 3.1 in [7] for the c.n.u. part and to the Riesz brothers' theorem for the unitary part.

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let $T: H \to H$ be a contraction of analytic type. For all $n \ge 1$ the following are equivalent:

- (a) There exists n vectors f_1, \ldots, f_n in H which are analytically independent under T and satisfying $M(f_1, \ldots, f_n; T) = H$.
 - (b) There exists a quasiaffinity $Y: H_n^2 \to H$ such that $YU_n = TY$.

PROOF. Here H_n^2 denotes the direct sum of n copies of H^2 on which U_n acts in the usual manner. Let $e_i = (0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ..., 0)$ where the 1 occurs in the ith place, i = 1, ..., n.

- (b) \Rightarrow (a). Let $f_i = Ye_i$. Since $\{e_i: i = 1, ..., n\}$ generate H_n^2 under U_n it follows from the properties of Y that $H = M(f_1, ..., f_n; T)$. We assert that the f_i are analytically independent under T. Let us assume the contrary, so that there are H^∞ functions F_i , not all zero, satisfying $\sum F_i(T)f_i = 0$. That is, $0 = \sum F_i(T)Ye_i = Y(\sum F_ie_i)$. Since Y is one-to-one, we must have $\sum F_ie_i = 0$. This means $F_i = 0$ for all i, which is a contradiction.
- (a) \Rightarrow (b). Let U_T be the minimal unitary dilation of T and μ_i be the measure corresponding to f_i arising from the spectral measure of U_T . By hypothesis, μ_i is

absolutely continuous with respect to m. Let $h_i = d\mu_i/dm$, so that $h_i \in L^1(m)$. Choose outer functions F_i in H^{∞} satisfying $|F_i|^2 = (1 + h_i)^{-1}$ a.e. (m) [6, p. 53].

Now we define $Y: H_n^2 \to H$ by $Ye_i = F_i(T)f_i$ and extend to the set of vectors of the form (p_1, \ldots, p_n) , where p_i are polynomials, by $Y(p_1, \ldots, p_n) = \sum p_i(T)F_i(T)f_i$. So Y satisfies $YU_n = TY$. For polynomials p_1, \ldots, p_n ,

$$\|Y(p_{1},...,p_{n})\|_{H}^{2} = \|\sum p_{i}(T)F_{i}(T)f_{i}\|^{2} \leq n \cdot \sum \|p_{i}(T)F_{i}(T)f_{i}\|^{2}$$

$$\leq n \cdot \sum \|p_{i}(U_{T})F_{i}(U_{T})f_{i}\|^{2} = n \cdot \sum \int |p_{i}F_{i}|^{2} d\mu_{i}$$

$$= n \cdot \sum \int |p_{i}|^{2} h_{i}(1 + h_{i})^{-1} dm$$

$$\leq n \cdot \sum \|p_{i}\|_{H^{2}}^{2} = n \cdot \|(p_{1},...,p_{n})\|_{H^{2}_{n}}^{2}.$$

Thus Y is bounded on the set of vectors of the form (p_1, \ldots, p_n) . Since this set is dense in H_n^2 , Y has a unique bounded extension to all of H_n^2 .

To show that Y is one-to-one, suppose that $Y(g_1, \ldots, g_n) = 0$. Each g_i is in H^2 and so is a quotient of two H^{∞} functions: $g_i = G_{1i}/G_{2i}$, where G_{2i} are outer. Let $G_2 = \prod_{i=1}^n G_{2i}$ and $G'_{2i} = G_2/G_{2i}$. Then we have

$$0 = Y(g_1, \dots, g_n) = G_2(T)Y(g_1, \dots, g_n) = Y(G_2(g_1, \dots, g_n))$$

= $Y(G'_{21}G_{11}, \dots, G'_{2n}G_{1n}) = Y(\sum G'_{2i}G_{1i}e_i) = \sum (G'_{2i}G_{1i})(T)F_i(T)f_i.$

Since G'_{2i} , F_i are outer functions, the analytic independence of f_i under T implies that $G_{1i} = 0$ for all i. That is, $g_i = 0$ for all i.

Next, we show that Y has dense range. Suppose p is a polynomial. We have

$$\int |p/F_i|^2 dm = \int |p|^2 (1+h_i) dm < \infty.$$

Hence by [4, Theorem 2.11], p/F_i is in H^2 . Write $g_i = p/F_i$. Then g_i is in H^2 and $F_ig_i = p$. Then

$$F_i(T)Y(g_ie_i) = Y(F_ig_ie_i) = Y(pe_i) = p(T)Ye_i$$

= $p(T)F_i(T)f_i = F_i(T)p(T)f_i$.

Since F_i is outer, from Lemma 2.1 we get $Y(g_i e_i) = p(T)f_i$. It is now clear that ran Y contains all vectors of the form $\sum p_i(T)f_i$, where p_i are polynomials. Thus Y has dense range and the proof is complete.

We recall the following results from [7].

PROPOSITION 2.3 (WOLD DECOMPOSITION [7, p. 3]). Every isometry is the direct sum of a unitary operator and a unilateral shift.

If the isometry has no unitary part it is said to be *pure*. Thus a pure isometry is a unilateral shift.

PROPOSITION 2.4. Every isometry has a minimal unitary extension [7, p. 6].

The minimal unitary extension of U_n is W_n , the bilateral shift of multiplicity n [7, p. 5].

PROPOSITION 2.5. Suppose T is a contraction of class C_1 , on the space H. There is a positive quasiaffinity X on H of norm one and an isometry V on H such that XT = VX. Further, for all h in H, $||Xh|| = \inf_k ||T^kh||$ [7, p. 79].

We shall call this isometry V the canonical isometry associated with T. If T has a unitary part then it is easy to see that V has a unitary part. Therefore V pure implies that T is c.n.u.

PROPOSITION 2.6. Let $F_i = (f_{i1}, ..., f_{in})$, i = 1, ..., n, be any n vectors in H_n^2 . Then F_i are analytically dependent if and only if $det(f_{ij}) = 0$.

PROOF. Let A denote the matrix (f_{ij}) . Since f_{ij} are in H^2 , det A is a function of class N^+ . Assume that det A=0. Hence for all z in D we have det $A(z)=\det(f_{ij}(z))=0$. Let k(z) be the row rank of A(z) and put $k=\max_{z\in D}k(z)$. Then 0 < k < n. Thus there exists z in D and a $k \times k$ minor of A(z) whose determinant is not zero, while every minor of A(z) of order greater than k has determinant zero for all z in D. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the principal $k \times k$ minor $B=(f_{ij}), \ 1 \le i, \ j \le k$, has the nonzero determinant at some point z in D. Thus det B is a nonzero function of class N^+ . Let

$$(h_1,\ldots,h_k)=(f_{k+1,1},\ldots,f_{k+1,k})\cdot \det B\cdot B^{-1}.$$

Then each h_i is of class N^+ . Hence there exists a nonzero function F in H^{∞} such that Fh_1, \ldots, Fh_k and $F \cdot \det B$ are all H^{∞} functions. This follows from the fact that every function of class N^+ is a quotient of two functions in H^{∞} [4]. We claim that

$$(*) (F \cdot \det B) \cdot F_{k+1} = F \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{k} h_i F_i.$$

To see this, let us set $G_i = (f_{i1}, \dots, f_{ik})$, $i = 1, \dots, k+1$. Then by our choice of h_1, \dots, h_k , we have $F \cdot \det B \cdot G_{k+1} = F \cdot \sum h_i G_i$. Hence, if (*) were not true at some point z_0 in D, then $A(z_0)$ has a minor of order k+1 whose determinant is not zero, contradicting our choice of k. From (*) we conclude that F_1, \dots, F_{k+1} and hence F_1, \dots, F_n are analytically dependent.

Conversely, suppose that F_1, \ldots, F_n are analytically dependent: $\sum h_i F_i = 0$, where h_i are H^{∞} functions, not all zero. Thus for all z in D we have $\sum h_i(z)F_i(z) = 0$. That is, for all z in D,

$$(h_1(z),\ldots,h_n(z))\cdot(f_{ij}(z))=0.$$

Hence $\det(f_{ij}(z)) = 0$ for all points z in D at which at least one of the numbers $h_1(z), \ldots, h_n(z)$ is different from zero. But the common zeros of h_1, \ldots, h_n are at most countable and so we must have $\det(f_{ij}) = 0$.

COROLLARY 2.7. Any n + 1 vectors in H_n^2 are analytically dependent.

PROOF. Let $F_i = (f_{i1}, \ldots, f_{in})$, $i = 1, \ldots, (n+1)$, be n+1 vectors in H_n^2 . We may assume that the first n vectors F_1, \ldots, F_n are analytically independent. By Proposition 2.6 we have

$$\det(f_{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq n}\neq 0.$$

Let $(h_1, ..., h_n) = F_{n+1} \cdot \det(f_{ij}) \cdot (f_{ij})^{-1}$. Then there exists a function F in H^{∞} such that $Fh_1, ..., Fh_n$ and $F \cdot \det(f_{ij})$ are all in H^{∞} . We obtain

$$F \cdot \det(f_{ij}) \cdot F_{n+1} = F \cdot (h_1, \dots, h_n) \cdot (f_{ij}) = \sum_{i=1}^n Fh_i F_i,$$

showing that F_1, \ldots, F_{n+1} are analytically dependent.

THEOREM 2.8. Let T be a contraction on the space H. Then T is quasisimilar to U_n if and only if the following conditions hold:

- (1) T is of class C_1 .
- (2) The canonical isometry V associated with T is pure.
- (3) There exists n vectors f_1, \ldots, f_n in H which are analytically independent under T and satisfying $M(f_1, \ldots, f_n; T) = H$.

PROOF. The conditions are sufficient. Condition (2) implies that T is c.n.u. and so is of analytic type. By condition (3) and Proposition 2.2 there is a quasiaffinity Y: $H_n^2 \to H$ satisfying $YU_n = TY$. By condition (1) we have the quasiaffinity X on H satisfying XT = VX, where Y is the canonical isometry associated with T. From (2), Y is a unilateral shift. From $M(f_1, \ldots, f_n; T) = H$ and XT = VX it follows that $M(Xf_1, \ldots, Xf_n; V) = H$. This shows that Y has multiplicity at most Y.

Suppose that the multiplicity of V is k where k < n. Indentifying V with U_k and applying Corollary 2.7 we see that Xf_1, \ldots, Xf_n are analytically dependent under V. Hence there exists H^{∞} functions F_1, \ldots, F_n , not all zero, satisfying $\Sigma F_i(V)Xf_i = 0$. This means $X(\Sigma F_i(T)f_i) = 0$ and hence $\Sigma F_i(T)f_i = 0$. This contradicts (3) and so V must have multiplicity n.

The conditions are necessary. We are assuming that T is quasisimilar to U_n . Let $Y: H \to H_n^2$ and $Z: H_n^2 \to H$ be quasiaffinities satisfying $YT = U_n Y$ and $ZU_n = TZ$.

- (1) T is of class C_1 .: Let h be any vector in H such that $T^k h \to 0$. Then $YT^k h \to 0$. This implies $U_n^k Yh \to 0$. But, clearly, $||U_n^k Yh|| = ||Yh||$ for all k and so we have h = 0. Now $(U_n^*)^k \to 0$ strongly as $k \to \infty$ implies that $T^{*k} \to 0$ strongly. Thus T is of class C_{10} and so is c.n.u. and of analytic type.
- (2) The canonical isometry V is pure: By Proposition 2.5 we have the quasiaffinity X satisfying XT = VX. From $Y: H \to H_n^2$ we construct an operator $Y_0: H \to H_n^2$ with the properties $Y_0V = U_nY_0$ and $Y_0X = Y$ as follows.

Just define $Y_0Xh = Yh$ for all h in H. Then Y_0 is densely defined and has dense range. For h in H we have for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$,

$$||Y_0Xh|| = ||Yh|| = ||U_n^kYh|| = ||YT^kh|| \le ||Y|| \cdot ||T^kh||.$$

It follows that

$$||Y_0Xh|| \le ||Y|| \cdot \inf_{k} ||T^kh|| = ||Y|| \cdot ||Xh||,$$

by Proposition 2.5. Thus Y_0 is bounded by ||Y|| on the dense set of ran X. So it has a unique extension to all of H satisfying $||Y_0|| \le ||Y||$. Now

$$Y_0VXh = Y_0XTh = YTh = U_nYh = U_nY_0Xh$$

shows that Y_0V and U_nY_0 agree on the dense set ran X. Hence we must have $Y_0V = U_nY_0$. The construction of Y_0 is complete.

Now $Y_0V=U_nY_0$ implies that $VXZY_0=XZY_0V$. Let V_1 denote the minimal unitary extension of V. Since $VXZ=XZU_n$ one infers that V_1 has finite multiplicity and so cannot be unitarily equivalent to any proper part of it. Now let Y_1 be the unique bounded lifting of XZY_0 commuting with V_1 [2, Corollary 5.1]; obviously Y_1 has dense range. By [2, Lemma 4.1], V_1 is unitarily equivalent to $V_1 \mid (\ker Y_1)^{\perp}$ and it follows from $\ker Y_0 \subset \ker Y_1 = (0)$ that Y_0 is a quasiaffinity. Therefore, from $V^{*k}Y_0^* = Y_0^*U_n^{*k} \to 0$ strongly as $k \to \infty$, we infer that $V^{*k} \to 0$ strongly as $k \to \infty$. This means that V is pure.

(3) Take $f_i = Ze_i$. Then f_1, \ldots, f_n satisfy the requirements. For, Z is a quasiaffinity implies $M(f_1, \ldots, f_n; T) = H$. The analytic independence of f_1, \ldots, f_n under T also follows easily.

REFERENCES

- 1. W. S. Clary, Quasisimilarity and subnormal operators, Ph.D. thesis, The University of Michigan, 1973.
- 2. R. G. Douglas, On the operator equation $S^*XT = X$, Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged) 30 (1969), 19–32.
- 3. R. G. Douglas, H. S. Shapiro and A. L. Shields, Cyclic vectors and invariant subspaces for the backward shift operator, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 20 (1970), 37-76.
 - 4. P. L. Duren, Theory of H^p spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- 5. W. W. Hastings, Subnormal operators quasisimilar to an isometry, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 256 (1979), 145-161.
 - 6. K. Hoffman, Banach spaces of analytic functions, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1962.
- 7. B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaș, *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*, American Elsevier, New York, 1970.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MEDGAR EVERS COLLEGE OF THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11225